Inhibition of ERRα Prevents Mitochondrial Pyruvate Uptake Exposing NADPH-Generating Pathways as Targetable Vulnerabilities in Breast Cancer
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In Brief

Park et al. demonstrate that inhibition of mitochondrial metabolism can be accomplished using small molecule inhibitors of ERRα. Inhibiting the activity of this receptor decreases the expression of MPC1, interferes with pyruvate entry into the mitochondria, and increases cellular reliance on glutamine oxidation and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) to maintain NADPH homeostasis.
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SUMMARY

Most cancer cells exhibit metabolic flexibility, enabling them to withstand fluctuations in intratumoral concentrations of glucose (and other nutrients) and changes in oxygen availability. While these adaptive responses make it difficult to achieve clinically useful anti-tumor responses when targeting a single metabolic pathway, they can also serve as targetable metabolic vulnerabilities that can be therapeutically exploited. Previously, we demonstrated that inhibition of estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERRα) significantly disrupts mitochondrial metabolism and that this results in substantial antitumor activity in animal models of breast cancer. Here we show that ERRα inhibition interferes with pyruvate entry into mitochondria by inhibiting the expression of mitochondrial pyruvate carrier 1 (MPC1). This results in a dramatic increase in the reliance of cells on glutamine oxidation and the pentose phosphate pathway to maintain nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) homeostasis. In this manner, ERRα inhibition increases the efficacy of glutaminase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase inhibitors, a finding that has clinical significance.

INTRODUCTION

Aerobic glycolysis has long been considered a dominant metabolic pathway in cancer cells, a conclusion reinforced by the observation that oncogene activation or loss of tumor suppressors results in a dramatic upregulation of glycolysis (Dang et al., 2011; Vander Heiden and DeBerardinis, 2017). Not surprisingly, therefore, there has been considerable interest in developing approaches to target those steps in glycolysis upon which cancer cells are most reliant (Dang et al., 2011). This approach has been somewhat successful although the therapeutic efficacy of drugs targeting glycolysis is limited by the inherent metabolic flexibility of cancer cells, which enables them to switch from using glycolysis to relying on mitochondrial metabolism (Ganapathy-Kanniappan and Geschwind, 2013; Sborov et al., 2015; Skoura et al., 2012). Conversely, it has been noted by our group and others that while utilizing mitochondrial metabolism, cancer cells demonstrate reduced sensitivity to chemotherapeutics and some targeted therapies (Haq et al., 2013; Park et al., 2016; Vazquez et al., 2013; Vellinga et al., 2015; Viale et al., 2014; Weinberg et al., 2010; Weinberg and Chandel, 2015). Thus, in addition to targeting glycolysis, optimal therapeutic exploitation of dysregulated metabolism in tumors will also require cancer-cell-selective inhibition of mitochondrial metabolism.

In order to survive periods of metabolic stress, cancer cells must be able to sense and respond to dramatic shifts in nutrient availability in their proximal environment. Mitochondria are a key component of such adaptive activities as they not only participate in the oxidation of glucose but can also oxidize fatty acids, glutamine, and lactate to satisfy the bioenergetic and/or biosynthetic needs of cancer cells (Faubert et al., 2017; Hui et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2016b; Park et al., 2016; Sonveaux et al., 2008; Wise et al., 2008). Not often considered in discussions of tumor metabolism is that the levels of glucose (and other nutrients) vary dramatically, both temporally and spatially, within tumors. Indeed, several studies have revealed that the intratumoral levels of glucose are less than 1 mM, implying that tumors are in a near constant state of glucose deprivation (Ho et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016b). This puts into context our previous observation that when glucose is limiting, cancer cells can utilize lactate, an abundant carbon source within tumors (10–15 mM) and that its utilization requires the nuclear receptor ERRα (Park et al., 2016; Sonveaux et al., 2008). The importance of lactate was also highlighted by others in recent studies in non-small-cell lung cancers where lactate was shown to be the major fuel entering the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (Faubert et al., 2017; Hui et al., 2017). Indeed, blocking lactate uptake using small molecule inhibitors of the monocarboxylate transporter 1 (MCT1) is being considered as a therapeutic strategy in some cancers (Corbet et al., 2018; Sonveaux et al., 2008). These and other supporting studies suggest that reliance on lactate metabolism is a vulnerability of cancers and highlights the potential utility of ERRα as a therapeutic target.

Although the anti-cancer activities and the mechanism(s) of action of several small molecule inhibitors that target mitochondrial metabolism have been described, the efficacy of most of these agents are significantly impacted by fluctuations in nutrient and oxygen availability and by the inherent metabolic flexibility of cancer cells (e.g., metabolic shift between glycolysis and oxidative metabolism).
phosphorylation (OXPHOS) (Gui et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016b; Muir and Vander Heiden, 2018; Park et al., 2016; Wolpaw and Dang, 2016). Blocking the activity of these compensatory pathways, together with the primary target, is a general approach that has been used to develop combinatorial interventions that inhibit cancer cell metabolism. Here, we have taken the alternative approach of identifying and exploiting conditional vulnerabilities that emerge in cells when treated with drugs directed against a specific metabolic pathway. We report the success of one such approach in which inhibition of the activity of the nuclear receptor ERRα inhibits pyruvate entry into mitochondria, with the result that cells become dependent upon glutamine and glucose oxidation to generate nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH). In this manner, cancer cells are rendered hypersensitive to glutaminase (GLS1) inhibitors in conditions of low glucose availability and become acutely dependent on both glutamine (glutaminolysis) and glucose entry into the pentose phosphate pathways in glucose-replete conditions. Therapeutic exploitation of these induced vulnerabilities was shown to be an effective therapeutic strategy in clinically relevant animal models of breast cancer.

RESULTS

Inhibition of ERRα Increases Oxidative Glutamine Metabolism

Whereas cancer cells have a proclivity for glucose utilization, they are for the most part in a constant state of glucose deprivation as intratumoral levels of glucose rarely exceed 1 mM (Ho et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016b). Cancer cells can survive glucose deprivation by switching to use lactate as their primary carbon source, an adaptive event that we have shown requires the nuclear receptor ERRα (Park et al., 2016). Specifically, it was demonstrated that lactate oxidation and incorporation of lactate-derived carbons into TCA cycle intermediates was decreased in ERRα antagonist Cpd29-treated cells (Park et al., 2016). Considering these data, we embarked on studies to define the mechanisms by which ERRα impacts lactate metabolism with the goal of identifying targetable vulnerabilities that were exposed in cells in which this particular activity of ERRα was inhibited.

As a first step, we performed metabolite profiling in MDA-MB-436 (MDA436) cells (a model of triple negative breast cancer (TNBC)) grown in 10 mM lactate + 2 mM glutamine (glucose-deprived conditions). This revealed a significant decrease of citrate and concomitantly an increase in fumarate, α-ketoglutarate, succinate, fumarate, malate, and aspartate in cells upon ERRα inhibition (Figure 1A). Given that lactate and glutamine are the major metabolic fuels in these culture conditions, it was speculated that the increased levels of α-ketoglutarate, succinate, fumarate, malate, and aspartate observed upon ERRα inhibition resulted from increased glutamine flux into the TCA cycle to compensate for decreases in

Figure 1. Metabolic Changes in Response to ERRα Inhibitor Cpd29 in Glucose-Deprived Conditions

(A) Relative abundance of indicated metabolites in MDA436 cells cultured in glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum [FBS]) supplemented with 10 mM lactate + 2 mM glutamine (glucose-deprived conditions) and treated with DMSO or 5 μM Cpd29 for 24 h (left). A schematic representation of metabolic pathways upregulated in response to Cpd29. Red circles represent increased metabolites (right).

(B) A schematic representation of 13C-glutamine incorporation into metabolites of the TCA cycle. Black and red circles are 12C and 13C, respectively (left). Percent of M+4 succinate, fumarate, malate, and aspartate in MDA436 cells following steady-state tracing (24-h labeling) with 10 mM lactate + 2 mM [U-13C]-glutamine in the presence or absence of 5 μM Cpd29 (right).

(C) Mass isotopomer distribution of pyruvate in MDA436 cells grown in the same conditions as in (B). The levels of metabolites in Cpd29-treated group were normalized with respect to the relevant metabolites in DMSO controls. The error bars represent SD (n = 3). The p value was calculated using two-tailed Student’s t test, **p < 0.05. See also Figure S1.
lactate oxidation. To test this hypothesis, MDA436 cells were propagated in the same glucose-deprived conditions with \(^{13}\)C-glutamine (10 mM lactate + 2 mM \([U-^{13}\text{C}]\) glutamine) for 24 h and the steady-state enrichment of isotope-labeled metabolites were assessed. It was observed that the abundance of fully labeled (M+4) succinate, fumarate, malate, and aspartate was significantly increased in Cpd29-treated cells, confirming that cells increased their reliance on glutamine anaplerosis when lactate flux into mitochondria was suppressed following ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition (Figure 1B). Similar results were observed in studies performed in HCC1937 cells grown in glucose-deprived conditions (10 mM \([U-^{13}\text{C}]\) lactate + 2 mM glutamine) with an accumulation of pyruvate and a reduction of incorporation of lactate carbon into citrate and aconitate noted in Cpd29-treated cells (Figures S1A–S1C). Glutamine incorporation (M+0 metabolites of glutamate, α-ketoglutarate, and aspartate) was also increased (Figure S1D). Examination of isotope enrichment of pyruvate in this study was particularly informative. The increase in M+3 pyruvate observed could result from oxidative and/or reductive pathways of glutamine utilization (Figures 1B and 1C). While reductive carboxylation of glutamine does occur in these glucose-deprived conditions, as evidenced by the presence of M+5 citrate from \([U-^{13}\text{C}]\) glutamine, there is no significant difference in M+5 citrate in control versus ERR\(\alpha\) inhibited cells (Figure S1E). Thus, flux through this pathway is unlikely to account for the increased glutamine-derived pyruvate observed in Cpd29-treated cells (Figure 1C). In the oxidative glutaminolysis pathway, glutamine is oxidized in the mitochondria and can be converted to pyruvate via malic enzymes (MEs). It was previously shown that in glucose-deprived conditions, pyruvate can be generated from glutamine via mitochondrial ME (ME2) (Yang et al., 2014). However, the observed increase in M+3 pyruvate from \([U-^{13}\text{C}]\) glutamine can also arise via cytosolic ME (ME1) where glutamine is oxidized to oxaloacetate (OAA) and exported from the mitochondria through the malate-aspartate shuttle and converted to malate by malate dehydrogenase 1 (MDH1) and then to pyruvate via cytosolic ME1. This latter pathway was found to be active and would be consistent with our previous studies indicating that the activity of the malate-aspartate shuttle is required for lactate utilization as knockdown of MDH1 expression compromised the ability of lactate to rescue cell viability (Park et al., 2016). Collectively, these results indicate that upon ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition cancer cells limit the flux of lactate-derived pyruvate into mitochondria and increase their reliance on oxidative glutamine metabolism through the TCA cycle and the malate-aspartate shuttle.

**ERR\(\alpha\) Is Required for the Maintenance of NADPH**

One consequence of inhibiting lactate oxidation in glucose-deprived conditions is that cancer cells are unable to use lactate-derived carbons to drive the production of NADPH, likely rendering cells dependent on glutamine for this activity. NADPH is a key cofactor required not only for biomass synthesis but also to maintain redox balance in cells (Fan et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016a). One unit of NADPH is produced when malate is converted into pyruvate via MEs. NADPH produced in this manner can be used to reduce oxidized glutathione (GSSG) to reduced glutathione (GSH), which in turn is required to mitigate the impact of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Figure 2A). We have previously shown that lactate-derived malate was decreased upon ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition, which would likely result in a decrease in cellular NADPH levels, rendering cancer cells more susceptible to oxidative stress (Park et al., 2016). Therefore, we hypothesized that ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition interferes with cellular redox balance, resulting in increased ROS production. In response, glutamine is rerouted to NADPH synthesis pathways to mitigate the impact of ROS on cell survival. The increased reliance on glutamine likely reflects the need to maintain redox balance, as opposed to generation of biomass, as cells are not proliferating in glucose-deprived conditions. To test this hypothesis, we assessed NADPH and ROS levels in MDA436 cells treated with or without an ERR\(\alpha\) antagonist Cpd29. We observed a decrease in NADPH levels upon ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition (Figure 2B), and this was associated with increased ROS production and apoptosis (Figures 2C and 2D). Importantly, all of these changes were prevented when cells were supplemented with 10 mM glutamine (Figures 2C and 2D). In parallel, cell proliferation assays were performed under the conditions where glutamine (2 mM) was maintained during the course of the experiment by replenishing it every 2 days. This continuous glutamine supplementation regimen protected against ERR\(\alpha\)-antagonist-induced cell death (Figure 2E).

Similarly, a one-time addition of 10 mM glutamine was found to prevent cell death induced by ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition (both chemical inhibitor and small interfering RNA [siRNA]-mediated knockdown) (Figures 2F and 2G). However, addition of the GLS1 inhibitors BPTES or CB-839 compromised the ability of supplemental glutamine to rescue cell survival or to reduce ROS levels (Figures 2E and 2F; Figures S2B and S2C). Furthermore, supplementation of media with GSH abrogated the toxicity of ERR\(\alpha\) and GLS1 inhibitors on cancer cell survival (Figures 2H and 2J) and reduced ROS levels (Figures 2I, S2B, and S2C). Similar results were also observed in HCC1937 cells (Figures S2D–S2G). These results confirmed that ROS is a contributing factor to cell death under glucose-deprived conditions and that ERR\(\alpha\) is required to mitigate these activities.

**Inhibition of ERR\(\alpha\) Leads to a Compensatory Upregulation of Pathways That Are Involved in NADPH Synthesis**

The results of studies described thus far indicate that ERR\(\alpha\) is likely a useful therapeutic target in settings where glucose levels are limited. However, given the very dramatic fluctuations in intratumoral glucose levels (Trédan et al., 2007), it was important to determine whether the vulnerabilities exposed in glucose-deprived conditions upon ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition were also present in glucose-replete conditions. Thus, metabolomic profiling experiments were performed under conditions of 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM glutamine with or without ERR\(\alpha\) inhibition. Surprisingly, as shown in Figure 3A, pyruvate, succinate, fumarate, malate, and aspartate also accumulated in these conditions upon Cpd29 treatment. To gain detailed insight into substrate utilization in ERR\(\alpha\)-inhibited cells, we cultured MDA436 cells in media supplemented with \([U-^{13}\text{C}]\) glucose or \([U-^{13}\text{C}]\) glutamine for 24 h and analyzed steady-state isotope enrichment. In culture conditions with 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM glutamine, (1) most of the total cellular pool of glycolytic intermediates were derived from
Upon ERRα Inhibition, Glutamine Flux into Mitochondria Is Increased to Generate NADPH

(A) A schematic representation of mitochondrial NADPH synthesis pathway.

(B) NADP⁺ and NADPH levels were determined over time in MDA436 cells cultured in glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 10 mM lactate + 2 mM glutamine in the treatment of DMSO or 5 μM Cpd29.

(C) MDA436 cells were incubated for 48 h in glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 10 mM lactate + 2 mM glutamine or 10 mM lactate + 10 mM glutamine in the presence of Cpd29, and cells were then incubated with CM-H₂DCFDA for 60 min and the intensity of fluorescence was measured using flow cytometry.

(D) MDA436 cells were cultured for 3 days in the same conditions as in (C), and the levels of NADP⁺ and NADPH were measured.

(E and F) MDA436 cells were cultured in the indicated media in the presence of Cpd29 or BPTES (1 μM) and harvested at the time points shown, and cell numbers were determined.

(G) MDA436 cells were transfected with control (siCtrl) or ERRα (siERRα) siRNAs for 48 h. Cells were then switched to the indicated media. Cells were harvested at the time points shown, and cell numbers were determined.

(H) MDA436 cells were cultured in the indicated media in the presence of GSH (10 mM), and cell numbers were determined.

(I) ROS levels were measured in the presence of indicated treatments for 48 h.

(J) MDA436 cells were transfected with control (siCtrl) or ERRα (siERRα) siRNAs for 48 h. Cells were then switched to the indicated media in the absence or presence of GSH. Cells were harvested at the time points shown, and cell numbers were determined. Cell numbers were determined by staining with the DNA dye Hoechst 33258.

The error bars represent SD (n = 3). The p value was calculated using two-tailed Student’s t test, **p < 0.05. See also Figure S2.
Figure 3. ERRz Inhibition Leads to a Compensatory Upregulation of Glucose Flux into Pentose Phosphate Pathway and OCM and Glutamine Flux into Mitochondria

(A) Relative abundance of indicated metabolites in MDA436 cells cultured in glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM glutamine or 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM [U-13C] glutamine for 24 h in the presence or absence of 5 μM Cpd29 for 24 h.
(B) A schematic representation of 13C-glucose or 13C-glutamine incorporation into metabolites. Black and red circles are 12C and 13C, respectively.
(C and D) Mass isotopomer distribution of pyruvate (C) and lactate (D) in MDA436 cells grown in glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 12.5 mM [U-13C] glucose + 2 mM glutamine in the treatment of DMSO or Cpd29 for 24 h.
(E) Mass isotopomer distribution of aconitate and α-ketoglutarate from 12.5 mM [U-13C] glucose + 2 mM glutamine in the presence of DMSO or Cpd29 for 24 h.
(F) Relative abundance of M+0 or M+4 succinate, fumarate, malate, and aspartate in MDA436 cells cultured in glucose-free media supplemented with 12.5 mM [U-13C] glucose + 2 mM glutamine or 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM [U-13C] glutamine in the presence of DMSO or Cpd29 for 24 h.
(G) Mass isotopomer distribution of glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) and serine from cells cultured in 12.5 mM [U-13C] glucose + 2 mM glutamine or 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM [U-13C] glutamine for 24 h in the presence or absence of 5 μM Cpd29. In all isotopomer analyses, the levels of metabolites in Cpd29-treated group were normalized with respect to the relevant metabolites in DMSO controls.
(H) MDA436 cells were transfected with control (siCtrl), ERRz (siERRz), or MDH1 (siMDH1) siRNAs in media with 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM glutamine (glucose-replete media), and NADPH/NADP⁺ ratio was determined.
(I) MDA436 cells were cultured in the indicated media in the presence of 10 mM GSH, and cell numbers were determined by staining with the DNA dye Hoechst 33342.

The error bars represent SD (n = 3). The p value was calculated using two-tailed Student’s t test, **p < 0.05. See also Figure S3.
glucose; (2) TCA cycle intermediates were derived from both glucose and glutamine; (3) alanine, serine, and glycine were derived from glucose; (4) proline, glutamate, and aspartate were made from both glucose and glutamine; (5) pyrimidines (UTP and CTP), derived from aspartate, were labeled with both 13C-glucose and 13C-glutamine, but purines (IMP, ATP, and AMP) were labeled predominantly with 13C-glucose; and (6) only low amounts of the 13C carbon were incorporated into fatty acids (Figure S3A). Stable isotope tracing experiments also revealed that upon ERRα inhibition, cells accumulate glucose-derived pyruvate, as evidenced by a marked enrichment of M+3 pyruvate from [U-13C] glucose (and M+0 pyruvate from unlabeled glucose in [U-13C] glutamine conditions), and significantly increased glutamine-derived pyruvate (enrichment of M+3 pyruvate from [U-13C] glucose and M+0 from unlabeled glucose in [U-13C] glutamine conditions), and significantly reduced entry of glucose carbon into the PPP pathway (Figures 3G and S3D). Collectively, these findings indicate that ERRα inhibition resulted in an increased flux of glutamine into the mitochondria and increased glucose flux into the NADPH generating PGP and OCM pathways. Thus, even under glucose-replete conditions, ERRα inhibition may result in a disruption of NADPH homeostasis by uncoupling glycolysis and oxidative metabolism, forcing cells to upregulate the OCM and PPP pathways to maintain cellular NADPH levels. Indeed, in glucose-replete conditions, ERRα inhibition also decreased NADPH levels and induced apoptosis at later time points in glucose-replete conditions (Figures 3H and S3E). Consistent with the observation that cells require a functional malate-aspartate shuttle in glucose-deprived conditions, MDH1 knockdown also significantly reduced NADPH levels in glucose-replete conditions (Figure 3H). However, the growth inhibitory phenotype observed upon ERRα inhibition in glucose-replete conditions is not likely due to a failure to maintain GSH as its supplementation did not rescue the toxicity associated with ERRα inhibition (Figure 3I). Given the important role of NADPH in biomass synthesis, the reduction of NADPH in ERRα-inhibited cells in these conditions might be causally associated with the defect in supporting biomass synthesis.

ERRα Controls Pyruvate Flux into Mitochondria by Regulating the Expression of the MPC

The accumulation of pyruvate observed upon ERRα inhibition in both glucose-deprived and -replete conditions could result from decreased pyruvate transport into mitochondria. Pyruvate transport across the inner mitochondrial membrane is accomplished by a recently identified protein complex composed of mitochondrial pyruvate carrier (MPC) 1 and 2 that together facilitate pyruvate transport (Bricker et al., 2012; Herzig et al., 2012; Schell et al., 2014; Vacanti et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014). To investigate whether the reduction of pyruvate transport into mitochondria observed upon ERRα inhibition is a consequence of downregulated MPC1 expression, we assessed the mRNA and protein levels of MPC1 upon ERRα inhibition. Inhibition of ERRα with siRNA or the ERRα antagonist Cpd29 significantly downregulated mRNA and protein expression of MPC1 in both MDA436 and HCC1937 cells (Figures 4A and 4B; Figures S4A–S4D). Inhibition of ERRα modestly decreased both total PDH and phosphorylated PDH levels, which was somewhat expected because PDH is also a known ERRα target gene. However, no effect on the abundance of mitochondrial protein VDAC was observed (Figures 4A and 4B). Based on these data, we next sought to assess the role of ERRα in MPC1 regulation at the transcriptional level in more detail. Although small molecule agonists for ERRα have not yet been identified, we have previously demonstrated that the activity of ERRα can be regulated by manipulating the expression level of its obligate coregulator PGC1α (Gaillard et al., 2006). As shown in Figures 4C and 4D, expression of PGC1α or PGC1α_2X9 (a PGC1α derivative engineered to be highly selective for ERRα) dramatically induced both MPC1 mRNA and protein expression, whereas PGC1α_L2L3M (engineered PGC1α unable to bind to ERRα) was without activity in this assay. Two different siRNAs against ERRα were used to demonstrate that ERRα was required for the expression of
MPC1 in the PGC1α expressing cells. In order to explore whether ERRα directly regulates the expression of MPCs, we searched for ERRα occupancy within the MPC1 locus in publicly available chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) datasets (ENCODE) for which genome-wide ERRα binding profile data was available for several cell lines, including MCF7, A549, GM12878, and K562. This revealed significant enrichment of ERRα occupancy on the MPC1 promoter (Figure 4E). Next, we performed a ChIP assay using PCR primers against the enriched genomic regions retrieved from the ENCODE database to examine whether ERRα is directly recruited to the MPC1 promoter in MDA436 cells (Figure 4E). In this manner, it was determined that ERRα is directly recruited to the MPC1 promoter, confirming that it is a direct transcriptional target gene of this receptor (Figure 4F).

MPC1 Overexpression Restores Pyruvate Flux into Mitochondria in ERRα-Inhibited Cells

In light of the observation that ERRα inhibition downregulates MPC1 expression, we employed an overexpression system, wherein MPC1 expression was placed under the control of a constitutive promoter, to test the necessity of MPC1 downregulation for the metabolic phenotype of ERRα-inhibited cells. As the functional MPC complex consists of an obligate heterodimer of MPC1 and MPC2 (Schell et al., 2014), we coexpressed both components using lentiviruses for these studies (Figure 5A). The effects of MPC1/2 overexpression in ERRα-inhibited cells was assessed by metabolite profiling in MDA436 cells grown in glucose-deprived conditions (10 mM lactate + 2 mM glutamine). This revealed that the increase in pyruvate accumulation observed upon ERRα inhibition was abrogated by MPC1/2 overexpression (Figure 5B). Isotope tracing experiments further confirmed that pyruvate accumulation (M+3 pyruvate from 13C-lactate) observed upon Cpd29 treatment was almost reversed by MPC1/2 overexpression and that pyruvate oxidation was resumed, as evidenced by increased labeling of 13C-lactate-derived carbons into citrate and aconitate in MPC1/2 overexpressing cells even in the presence of Cpd29 (Figures 5C and 5D). We next asked whether the effect of ERRα inhibition on cancer cell proliferation could be reversed with MPC1 overexpression. Indeed, MPC1/2 overexpression prevented cell death caused by ERRα inhibition when pyruvate was used as the sole substrate (Figure 5S). Similarly, when either lactate or glucose was used as a carbon substrate, cell death caused by ERRα inhibition was also prevented by MPC1/2 overexpression (Figures 5E and 5F). Further, we also observed that the MPC inhibitor UK5099 functioned in a similar manner as the ERRα antagonist in assays of cell proliferation (Figures 5G and S5). These data show that MPC1/2 overexpression restores pyruvate flux into mitochondria in ERRα-inhibited cells and that this protects cells against ERRα-antagonist-induced cell death.

Co-inhibition of ERRα and NADPH Homeostatic Pathways as a Therapeutic Approach in Cancer

Our comprehensive metabolite profiling and stable isotope tracing studies enabled the generation of a “metabolic signature” that reports on ERRα activity in cancer cells. These data have informed the rational design of combination therapies that incorporate the concurrent targeting of ERRα together with additional vulnerabilities introduced into cells upon ERRα inhibition. Specifically, our data indicate that breast cancer cells treated with the ERRα antagonist Cpd29 were forced to upregulate glutamine flux into mitochondria and glucose flux into PPP and one-carbon metabolic pathways to compensate for the loss of NADPH (Figure 6A), and this creates targetable vulnerabilities. Thus, combinations of ERRα antagonists, with drugs targeting key enzymes in these compensatory pathways—GLS1 in glutaminolysis, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) in PPP or dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) in the one-carbon pathways—were evaluated for efficacy in cellular models of breast cancer. Small molecule inhibitors of GLS1 (CB-839 or BPTES), G6PD (dehydroepiandrosterone [DHEA]), and DHFR (methotrexate [MTX]) have been developed. As shown in Figures 6B, S6A, and S6B, all combinations of these drugs were much more efficacious as inhibitors of MDA436 cell proliferation than single agents, and the efficacy of triple combinations was superior to double drug combinations. Similar results were found when these combinations were assessed in other TNBC cell lines (BT20, HCC1143, HCC1937, MDAMB231, BT549, and HCC1806) (Figures S6, S7A, and S7B). Although PPP and one-carbon metabolic pathways contribute to NADPH synthesis, they also play a critical role in nucleotide synthesis by providing glucose-derived 5-carbon sugars and purine/pyrimidine moieties. To determine the extent to which the inhibitory effect of DHEA and MTX can be attributed to nucleotide synthesis, we evaluated the ability of deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), a source of both 5-carbon ribose ring and bases, to rescue the cytotoxic effect(s) of these drugs. In this manner, it was demonstrated that supplementation of media with dNTPs was able to rescue MTX-mediated cytotoxicity but not that observed upon DHEA treatment (Figure 6C). This indicates that the cytotoxicity of MTX in this model system is mainly due to deficiencies in DNA/RNA synthesis rather than changes in NADPH homeostasis. Follow-up studies with this drug were not undertaken.

Figure 4. MPC1 Is a Direct Transcriptional Target of ERRα

(A and B) MDA436 cells were transfected with control (siCtrl) or ERRα (siERRα) siRNAs (A) or treated with DMSO or Cpd29 (B) in glucose-replete media. RNA and protein were harvested over time, and the expression of MPC1 was analyzed by qRT-PCR and immunoblotting.

(C and D) MDA436 cells were transfected with either control (siCtrl) or ERRα (siERRα-A and siERRα-B) siRNAs for 24 h, followed by infection of adenoviruses expressing β-gal (negative control), wild-type PGC-1α, ERRα-selective PGC-1α (2X9), or the nuclear-receptor-binding-deficient PGC-1α (L2L3M) for 48 h. RNA (C) and protein (D) were harvested, and the expression of MPC1 was analyzed by qRT-PCR and immunoblotting.

(E) Tracks are retrieved from ENCODE ChIP-seq datasets and shown for MPC1 genomic regions with ERRα occupancy.

(F) ChIP was performed to validate ERRα recruitment to MPC1 genomic regions in MDA436 cells transfected with either control (siCtrl) or ERRα (siERRα-A and siERRα-B) siRNAs for 24 h, followed by infection of adenoviruses expressing β-gal (negative control), wild-type PGC-1α, ERRα-selective PGC-1α (2X9), or the nuclear-receptor-binding-deficient PGC-1α (L2L3M) for 48 h. The error bars represent SD (n = 3). The p value was calculated using two-tailed Student’s t test, **p < 0.05. See also Figure S4.
Increased Antitumor Activity by Combining an ERRα Antagonist with the GLS1 Inhibitor CB-839

The data presented thus far provide a strong rationale for the development of ERRα antagonists for use in combination with inhibitors of G6PD or GLS1 for the treatment of TNBC and possibly other cancers. Many cancers, TNBC among them, have been shown to be addicted to glutamine for their growth and metabolism, an observation that has highlighted the potential clinical utility of GLS1 as a therapeutic target (Gross et al., 2014; Xiang et al., 2015). Among the most developed compounds is the orally bioavailable GLS1 inhibitor CB-839, which has shown promise in both preclinical and clinical studies in multiple tumor types (Gross et al., 2014). Although safety and tolerability of CB-839 were the primary endpoints of a phase 1 clinical trial, preliminary efficacy as a single agent was limited to achieve long-term stable disease. However, CB-839 has been shown to be more effective when used in combination with other agents (E. Emberley et al., 2017, Keystone Symp., conference). With these encouraging data, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recently granted fast track designation to CB-839 in combination with carbozantinib or everolimus for the treatment of metastatic renal cancer patients (Tannir et al., 2018, Genitourin. Cancers Symp., abstract). Use of CB-839 in combination with other standard of care agents is currently being evaluated in phase 2 clinical trials in multiple tumor types. In this regard, our in vitro data strongly suggest that ERRα antagonists would likely improve the efficacy of GLS1 inhibitors. Therefore, we evaluated the potential clinical utility of these combinations using in vivo xenograft studies. Specifically, the efficacy of CB-839 (400 mg/kg/d) and DHEA (250 mg/kg/d) alone or in combination with Cpd29 (30 mg/kg/d) on the growth of MDA436 xenografts was assessed. The doses of Cpd29 and CB-839 used in this study did not cause any noticeable treatment-related toxicities (Figure 7B). DHEA was well tolerated and did not cause significant body-weight loss when used as a single agent (Figure S8). Unfortunately, its use was associated with significant morbidity and mortality in mice when combined with Cpd29 and therefore unsuitable for further exploration. As DHEA has many targets in addition to G6PD, therefore, more selective G6PD inhibitors are needed to explore the efficacy of triple combinations that include ERRα/GLS1 inhibitors. One of the most impactful findings of this study was that the combination of CB-839 and Cpd29 was significantly more effective than either therapy alone (Figure 7A). In sum, these studies suggest possible near-term clinical trials to test the utility of this multiple-pathway approach for targeting TNBC and other cancers.

DISCUSSION

Many oncogenic mutations rewire metabolism to enable maximal glucose utilization to support the biosynthetic and energetic needs of rapidly dividing cancer cells (Wolpaw and Dang, 2018). Not surprisingly, therefore, there has been a significant amount of interest in developing therapeutic strategies that target aerobic glycolysis. However, the efficacy of currently available interventions that target this pathway has been modest, an outcome that has been attributed, in part, to drug-induced compensatory events that increase cellular reliance on mitochondrial metabolism (Haq et al., 2013; Park et al., 2016; Vellinga et al., 2015; Viale et al., 2014). There is now a significant body of work indicating that in addition to ATP generation, mitochondria are involved in biomass generation, nucleotide synthesis, and the maintenance of redox balance, all of which are essential for the proliferation and survival of cancer cells (Bell et al., 2007; Birsoy et al., 2015; Di Lisa and Ziegler, 2001; Liu et al., 2016b; Pagliarini and Rutter, 2013; Stein and Imai, 2012; Sullivan et al., 2015). Cumulatively, these findings have driven the search for small molecules that can target mitochondrial metabolism in a cancer-cell-selective manner. In this regard, there is considerable interest of late in the potential anticancer activities of the electron transport chain (ETC) inhibitors metformin (complex I inhibitor), atovaquone (complex III inhibitor), and arsenic trioxide (complex IV inhibitor) (Ashton et al., 2018; Urra et al., 2017). There are a large number of on-going clinical trials assessing the efficacy of this class of drugs as cancer therapeutics.

Oxidation of lactate, glutamine, branched-chain amino acids, and fatty acids as occurs in tumors requires functional mitochondria. Lactate, for instance, is the most abundant carbon source in the tumor microenvironment and has long been considered a waste product of glycolysis. However, a number of recent studies have demonstrated that lactate is a major carbon source for cancer cells (Faubert et al., 2017; Hui et al., 2017; Park et al., 2016; Sonveaux et al., 2008). To serve as a fuel, lactate is first imported into cells, converted into pyruvate, and then crosses the mitochondrial matrix through the recently identified MPCs where it is oxidized (Bricker et al., 2012; Herzig et al., 2012). These important steps in lactate utilization have proved to be targetable and inhibitors of (1) MCT1, which mediates the import of lactate from the extracellular environment, and (2) MPCs, which facilitate pyruvate transport across the inner mitochondrial membrane, have been identified, and are under development. We have previously demonstrated that inhibition of nuclear receptor

Figure 5. MPC1 Overexpression Restores Pyruvate Flux into Mitochondria in ERRα-Inhibited Cells

(A) Western blot analysis of MDA436 cell lines with transduction of lentiviral targeting vector (Ctrl) or MPC1/2. MPC1/2 overexpressing cell lines were generated by fluorescence sorting three times.

(B) Relative abundance of indicated metabolites in control (Ctrl) and MPC1/2-expressing MDA436 cells cultured in glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 10 mM lactate + 2 mM glutamine in the treatment of DMSO or 5 μM Cpd29 for 24 h.

(C and D) Mass isotopomer distribution of pyruvate (C), citrate, and aconitate (D) in Ctrl and MPC1/2-expressing MDA436 cells grown in glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 10 mM [U-13C] lactate + 2 mM glutamine in the presence of DMSO or Cpd29 for 24 h. The levels of metabolites were normalized with respect to the relevant metabolites in Ctrl-DMSO.

(E–G) Control (MDA436, IC2/IC2) and MPC1/2-expressing (MDA436, MPC1/2) cells were cultured in glucose-deprived (E) or glucose-replete media (F) in the presence of Cpd29 or MPC inhibitor UKG099 (5 μM) (G) and harvested at the time points shown, and cell numbers were determined. Cell numbers were determined by staining with the DNA dye Hoechst 33258. The error bars represent SD (n = 3). The p value was calculated using two-tailed Student’s t test, **p < 0.05. See also Figure S5.
Figure 6. Cpd29 in Combination with Inhibitors Targeting NADPH Synthesis Pathways Is More Effective to Suppress Breast Cancer Cell Proliferation In Vitro

(A) A schematic representation of metabolite changes in response to Cpd29 in glucose-replete conditions. Red circle represents increased metabolites, and blue circle represents decreased metabolites.

(B) Graphs showing fluorescence (number of cells) over days with different treatments: DMSO, Cpd29, CB-839, Cpd29+CB-839, Cpd29+DHEA, DMSO, Cpd29, MTX, Cpd29+MTX, Cpd29+CB-839+DHEA.

(C) Graphs showing fluorescence (number of cells) over days with different treatments: DMSO, 30uM DHEA, dNTP, DHEA+dNTP, 25nM MTX, dNTP, MTX+dNTP, Cpd29, dNTP, Cpd29+dNTP, Cpd29+CB-839+DHEA.

(legend continued on next page)
ERRz, genetically or using small molecule inhibitors, disrupts mitochondrial metabolism, impairs lactate utilization, and inhibits tumor growth in animal models of breast cancer. It is significant in this regard that we have determined that ERRz inhibition prevents the entry of lactate-derived pyruvate into mitochondria and directly and indirectly interferes with NADPH homeostasis. Whereas any inhibitor of mitochondrial function would likely impair lactate utilization, ERRz is particularly attractive as a target as its expression is generally very low in normal somatic cells and dramatically upregulated in transformed cells. Further, the very subtle phenotypes observed in ERRz knockout mice and the data showing that mice tolerate small molecule inhibitors of ERRz exceptionally well highlight the utility of using this class of inhibitors to effect a cancer-cell-selective inhibition of mitochondrial function.

ERRz has long been recognized as a master regulator of mitochondrial metabolism (Eichner and Giugure, 2011). This is further supported by ChIP-on-chip studies that showed that ERRz occupies the promoter regions of almost all the genes participating in the TCA cycle and OXPHOS (Charest-Marcopte et al., 2010; Eichner and Giugure, 2011). Although PGC1/ERRz increases expression of mRNA encoding proteins involved in mitochondrial metabolism, little to no work has been done to examine the impact of ERRz inhibition on the functionality of those genes. We have shown, using stable isotope tracers, how ERRz regulates the fate of lactate and glucose in cancer cells (Park et al., 2016). In the present study, we show that ERRz inhibition downregulates MPC1 and that this impairs pyruvate transport into mitochondria, resulting in cytosolic pyruvate accumulation. Considering the role of ERRz regulating the expression of genes encoding cell cycle, it was surprising that MPC1/2 expression alone was almost sufficient to rescue the metabolic and decreased cell proliferation phenotypes associated with ERRz inhibition. It is possible that MPC is the primary “gatekeeping” regulator of carbon entry into TCA cycle, and thus its downregulation results in a bottleneck at the entrance to the TCA cycle. It is also possible that the impact of downregulating the expression of other TCA cycle genes is relatively minor and can be mitigated by increased expression of MPC. Regardless, we conclude that the ability of ERRz inhibitors to decrease MPC1 expression is an essential component of their anti-tumor efficacy.

One of the problems with existing strategies used to target metabolism in cancer is that most cells have the ability to rewire their metabolism to survive the primary pharmaceutical insult. This has led to the development of strategies to target both primary and escape pathways. Considering its cancer-cell-selective overexpression and the availability of small molecule inhibitors, ERRz is a particularly attractive co-target for such regimens. Using ERRz inhibition as a base upon which to build new therapeutic strategies, we screened for pathways that were induced upon the inhibition of the activity of this nuclear receptor. Among the most interesting vulnerabilities observed was the increased reliance of cells on glutamine to restore NADPH homeostasis. The significance of this observation was confirmed in tumor models where it was shown that the efficacy of a clinically important GLS1 inhibitor was significantly increased in ERRz-inhibitor-treated animals. Indeed, near-term testing of ERRz inhibitors, in combination with existing GLS1 inhibitors, is certainly justified by these findings. Our studies also highlight the potential utility of adding G6PD inhibitors to ERRz antagonist and GLS1 inhibitor combinations. However, the toxicity in animals of combining DHEA and ERRz antagonists limited our ability to test this particular combination. It is unclear why ERRz inhibition predisposes animals to DHEA toxicity, although the mechanisms underlying this activity are currently under investigation as it is a search for additional pathways that can be co-targeted with ERRz in tumors.

One of the most attractive features of ERRz antagonists is that they exploit the fact that this receptor is significantly overexpressed in cancers and thus affords the opportunity to target cancer cell mitochondrial function in a selective manner. In addition to increasing the efficacy of agents that target different aspects of mitochondrial metabolism, our studies also suggest alternative uses for ERRz antagonists in cancer. Of late there has been considerable interest in exploiting ETC inhibitors in
combination with radiation therapy in solid tumors (Cheng et al., 2016; Jordan and Sonveaux, 2012). The rationale underlying this strategy is that the reduced oxygen consumption in response to ETC inhibitors may alleviate tumor hypoxia and tumor hypoxia has been shown to be associated with a poor response to radiotherapy in many types of tumors (Dhani et al., 2015; Gilkes et al., 2014). Inhibitors of ETC reduce OCR (oxygen consumption rate) and result in an increase in oxygen availability within tumors (Ashton et al., 2016; Diepart et al., 2012; Jordan and Sonveaux, 2012; Zannella et al., 2013). A novel complex I inhibitor, BAY87-2243, was shown to alleviate hypoxia and improve radiation response in preclinical models, however, a phase I clinical trial with this drug had to be terminated due to unexpected toxicity (Chang et al., 2015; Ellinghaus et al., 2013). As previously shown, inhibition of ERRα also reduces OCR in breast cancer cells (Luo et al., 2017; Park et al., 2016). This might reflect the fact that many of the nuclear-encoded ETC genes are direct transcriptional targets of ERRα (Chang et al., 2011; Charest-Marcotte et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2017). Regardless, considering the mechanism of action of ERRα, and the apparent safety of inhibitors of this receptor, it is likely that drugs of this class would also function as radiosensitizers.

Our studies have highlighted a central role for ERRα in regulating the adaptive processes used by tumors to thrive in conditions of fluctuating nutrient availability. This, combined with the fact that it is a druggable transcription factor, make it an extremely attractive therapeutic target, the exploitation of which is likely to have a significant impact on the pharmacotherapy of multiple tumor types.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-ERRα</td>
<td>abcam</td>
<td>Cat#ab76228; RRID:AB_1523580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-PGC1α</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat#sc13067; RRID:AB_2166218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#A2228; RRID:AB_476697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-MPC1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#14462; RRID:AB_2773729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-MPC2</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#46141; RRID:AB_2799295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-VDAC</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#4661; RRID:AB_10557420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-PDH-E1α(pSer293)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#AP1062; RRID:AB_10616069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-PDH-E1α</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#459400; RRID:AB_2532238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpd29</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPTES</td>
<td>Selleckchem</td>
<td>Cat#S7753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-839</td>
<td>Selleckchem</td>
<td>Cat#S7655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX</td>
<td>Selleckchem</td>
<td>Cat#S1210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-DHEA</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#D4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium L-Lactate</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#71718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Glutathione reduced</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#G6013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-H2DCFDA</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#C6827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-glucose (U13C6)</td>
<td>Cambridge Isotope Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat#CLM-1396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-glutamine (13C5)</td>
<td>Cambridge Isotope Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat#CLM-1822-H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial and Virus Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-gal adenovirus</td>
<td>Gaillard et al., 2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC1α adenovirus</td>
<td>Gaillard et al., 2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC1α,2X9 adenovirus</td>
<td>Gaillard et al., 2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC1α,L2L3M adenovirus</td>
<td>Gaillard et al., 2006</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADP/NADPH-Glo Assays</td>
<td>Promega</td>
<td>Cat#G9071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA/M436</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#HTB-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC1937</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#CRL-2336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC1143</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#CRL-2321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA/M231</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#HTB-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT20</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#HTB-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT549</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#HTB-122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC1806</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#CRL-2335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36B4-F qPCR primer: GGACATGTTGCT GGCATTA</td>
<td>IDT DNA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36B4-R qPCR primer: GGGCCGAGAC CAGGTT</td>
<td>IDT DNA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC1-F qPCR primer: TTATCAGTGGC</td>
<td>IDT DNA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC1-R qPCR primer: CTACGTACCTTG TGGCATA</td>
<td>IDT DNA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for reagents may be directed to and fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Donald P. McDonnell (donald.mcdonnell@duke.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals and In Vivo Procedures
Animals were maintained in accordance with the NIH Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and all procedures were approved by the Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees. MDA436 cells \((2.5 \times 10^6)\) in 50% Matrigel (BD Biosciences) were injected into the mammary fat pad of 8-week-old female NOD.SCID.gamma (NSG) mice. When tumors reached 150 mm\(^3\), mice were randomized and fed either control chow or chow containing Cpd29 (240 ppm), trans-DHEA (0.2%), CB-839 (0.28%) alone or in combination. This dose was calculated to deliver approximately 30 mg/kg/day (Cpd29), 250 mg/kg/day (t-DHEA), and 400 mg/kg/day (CB-839). Mice were maintained on this diet until they were euthanized. Tumor size was measured with calipers three times a week, and tumor volumes were calculated as \((\text{width}^2 \times \text{length})/2\). Statistical comparison among groups was carried out using two-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni multiple comparison test.

Cell Culture
All cell lines were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and maintained in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO\(_2\). MDA-MB-436, HCC1937, HCC1143, HCC1806, and BT549 were cultured in RPMI (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and MDA-MB-231, and BT20 were cultured in DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All media were supplemented with 8% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were re-fed with fresh media every three days. All lentiviral transductions were performed with second-generation lentiviral supernatants generated by cotransfection of 293T cells with vectors psPAX2 (Addgene #12260), pCMV-VSV-G (Addgene #8454), and lentiviral targeting vectors. The lentiviral targeting vectors (Lego iG2/iC2) harboring MPC1 and MPC2 were kindly provided by Dr. Jared Rutter, University of Utah School of Medicine.
(Schell et al., 2014). MPC1/2-expressing stable MDA436 cell lines were generated by GFP and mCherry double positive cell sorting by flow cytometry. Cells were sorted three times over a period of 4 weeks until more than 98% of the cells were GFP/mCherry double positive.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Proliferation Assay**

Breast cancer cells were seeded in 96-well plates containing regular RPMI or DMEM media for 48 hr and subsequently replaced with glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 10 mM sodium lactate and 2 mM glutamine (glucose-deprived conditions) or 12.5 mM glucose and 2 mM glutamine (glucose-replete conditions). Cells were harvested 2, 4, 6, or 8 days after treatment. Cell numbers were determined by staining with the DNA dye Hoechst 33258 (Sigma) and resulting fluorescence was read at excitation 346 nm and emission 460 nm using a Fusion microplate reader (PerkinElmer).

**U-\(^{13}\)C Glutamine and U-\(^{13}\)C Glucose Isotopomer Analysis**

MDA436 (3.5 \(\times\) 10^5 cells/well) cells were seeded in 6-well plates containing regular RPMI. After incubation at 37°C for 24 hr, cells were pre-treated with DMSO or 5 μM Cpd29 for 40 hr in regular RPMI. Cells were then washed with PBS and switched to glucose and glutamine-free DMEM (10% dialyzed FBS) supplemented with 10 mM lactate + 2 mM [U-\(^{13}\)C] glucose (glucose-deprived conditions) or 12.5 mM [U-\(^{13}\)C] glucose + 2 mM glutamine or 12.5 mM glucose + 2 mM [U-\(^{13}\)C] glutamine (glucose-replete conditions) in the presence of DMSO or 5 μM Cpd29 and incubated for 24 hr. After 24 hr, media were quickly removed, and the plates were placed on top of dry ice. 1 mL of extraction solvent (80% methanol/water) was added, and the plates were transferred to –80°C freezer. The plates were left for 15 min, and then the cells were scraped into extraction solvent on dry ice. The whole extracts were transferred to new microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were transferred to new microcentrifuge tubes and dried in a SpeedVac. Dried samples were stored in –80°C freezer until LC-MS analysis. For relative metabolite levels, the total ion count measured by mass spectrometry was normalized to cellular protein for each sample and then plotted as relative to DMSO treatment. In addition, we corrected for naturally occurring 13C isotope as previously described (Yuan et al., 2008).

**LC-MS Analysis**

LC equipped with an Xbridge amide column (100 \(\times\) 2.1 mm i.d., 3.5 μm; Waters) was coupled to Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cell extracts were reconstituted into 30 μL water:methanol:acetonitrile (2:1:1), and 3 μL was injected to LC-MS (Lu et al., 2014; Shestov et al., 2014). The peak area integration of every metabolite was done with manufacturer’s software SIEVE (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and used to calculate isotopomer distribution. The natural abundance was corrected based on previously published method (Yuan et al., 2008). The contribution of carbon is calculated using the method described in a previous study (Schoors et al., 2015).

**Quantitative PCR**

Total DNA-free RNA was isolated using the Aurum RNA kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Using the Bio-Rad iScript kit, five hundred nanograms of total RNA were used in each 10 μL reverse transcription reaction, and the resulting cDNA was diluted 1:10 with water to use in qPCR analysis. qPCR was performed using the Bio-Rad SYBR green supermix with 0.2 μM of each forward and reverse primer and 1.25 μL of diluted cDNA in a total reaction volume of 3.25 μL. PCR amplification was carried out using the Bio-Rad CFX384 qPCR system.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Cell extracts were prepared using ice-cold lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 100 mM NaF and 1 mM EDTA] supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktails (Sigma) and 1 mM Na,VO₄ (Sigma). Protein concentrations were determined by the BCA protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 20 μg of proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE gels, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, and subjected to immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. Blots were imaged with the Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-Cor).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay (ChiP)**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was performed as previously described (Norris et al., 2009). MDA436 cells were plated in 150 mm dishes in regular RPMI for 48 hr. Cells were then transfected with either control (siCtrl) or ERRα (siERRα-A and siERRα-B) siRNAs for 24 hr, followed by infection of adenoviruses expressing β-gal (negative control), wild-type PGC-1α, ERRα selective PGC-1α (2X9), or the nuclear receptor-binding deficient PGC-1α (L2L3M) for 48 hr. 1% formaldehyde was added directly to the media for 10 min and quenched with 250 mM glycine for 5 min. Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, pelleted, lysed in 1 mL RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and 1 mM EDTA) and sonicated. The sonicated cross-linked chromatin was diluted with 2.3 mL of RIPA buffer and precleared for 30 min with 100 μL of 50% protein A/G agarose slurry (sc-2003, Santa Cruz) containing 50 μg BSA and 20 μg salmon sperm DNA. 1 mL of precleared chromatin was incubated with control rabbit IgG or anti-ERRα for overnight at 4°C. 70 μL of 50% protein A/G agarose beads were added and
incubated for 2 hr at 4 °C. Beads were washed 2 times sequentially with 1 mL of low-salt buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.8, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate), high-salt buffer (same as low-salt with 500 mM NaCl), LiCl buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate), and TE buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 1 mM EDTA). Protein-DNA complexes were eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1% EDTA, and 1% SDS) at 65 °C twice for 15 minutes. Eluted protein-DNA complexes were reverse cross-linked in the presence of 0.25 M NaCl overnight at 65 °C and further treated with 4 μL of 0.5 M EDTA and 1 μg of proteinase K (20 mg/mL) at 42 °C for 1 hr. The DNA fragments were purified and eluted in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5 using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) and further diluted with water and analyzed by real-time qPCR.

**Adenoviral Transduction**

Adenovirus expressing β-gal, PGC-1α, PGC-1α 2X9, or PGC-1α L2L3M were generated as described previously (Gaillard et al., 2006). MDA436 cells were infected at multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 50 for 48 hours.

**NADP⁺ and NADPH measurement**

NADP⁺ and NADPH were assessed using a modified version of manufacturer’s instructions supplied with the NADP/NADPH-Glo™ Assay kit (Promega). Cells were plated and treated as done for proliferation assays. Media were removed and cells were extracted in 120 μL ice-cold lysis buffer (1% dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) in 0.2 N NaOH diluted 1:1 with PBS), and immediately frozen at −80 °C. To measure NADP⁺, 50 μL of sample was moved to PCR tubes containing 25 μL of 0.4 N HCl and incubated at 60 °C for 15 min, where acidic conditions selectively degrade NADPH. To measure NADPH, 50 μL of sample was moved to PCR tubes and incubated at 75 °C for 30 min where basic conditions selectively degrade NADP⁺. Following incubations, samples were incubated at room temperature for 10 min and neutralized with 25 μL 0.5 M Tris base (NADP⁺) or 50 μL of 0.25 M Tris in 0.2 N HCl (NADPH). Manufacturer’s instructions were followed thereafter to measure NADP⁺ and NADPH.

**ROS Generation Assay**

Treated cells were stained with the oxidation-sensitive dye CM-H₂DCFDA (3 μmol/L) for 60 min in PBS at 37 °C, and the treatment was terminated by ice-cold PBS. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation was determined by FACS as described (Safi et al., 2014).

**Apoptosis Assay**

Treated cells were collected and double stained with Alexa Fluor 488 Annexin V and Sytox (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Annexin V-positive cells were considered apoptotic, and their percentage of the total number of cells was calculated. Ten thousand events were collected for each sample using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometry (BD), and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar).

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

ChIP-seq data for ESRRA were downloaded through ENCODE database (https://www.encodeproject.org). Statistically significant binding peaks found in the ChIP-seq dataset were visualized using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) genome browser (http://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv). Statistical significance was determined by the Student’s t test or two-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism 6.0. p values < 0.05 were considered significant.